

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,931	09/15/2003	Hisham Menkara	090503	8402	
7:	590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER		
Christopher J. Whewell			HODGES, MATTHEW P		
Western Patent 6020 Tonkowa		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Georgetown, TX 78628			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/661,9		MENKARA ET AL.	(And			
		Examine	or	Art Unit				
		Matt P. H	lodges	2879				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with t	he correspondence addres	S			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum of ure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no expressions. (30) days, a reply within the statetutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply atutory minimum of thirty (30 will expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABANI	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commu OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication,			
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 23 May 2005.	•					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-12 and 14-34</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-10,14-17 and 20-34</u> Claim(s) <u>5,11,12,18 and 19</u> is/are Claim(s) are subject to restr	is/are rejected.	onsideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by to the drawing(s) filed on <u>15 Septemble</u> . Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected.	per 2003 is/are: a)⊠ ection to the drawing(s) ng the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. ired if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docum ional Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appl nents have been red ule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stag	ge			
2) Notice No	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review of the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of the mation Date		Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on 5/23/2005, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Cancellation of claims 6, 7, and 13 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 13, though the claim is cancelled the text of the claim is still present. It is requested that the text be deleted for claim 13 so as to avoid confusion.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 8-10, 14-17, and 20-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bokor et al. (US 2004/0056256 A1)

Regarding claims 1-3, 8-10, 14-17, and 20-34, Bokor discloses the use of light emitting device including a white emitting mixture of ZnS:Cu and ZnS:Cu, Mn. (Page 3 Paragraph 030)

Art Unit: 2879

and (Table 4). The copper and manganese are doped in concentrations of 5 mol percent. (Page 3 Paragraph 020).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is allowed.

Claims 5, 11, 12, 18, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 4, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 4, and specifically comprising the limitation of a phosphor composition of ZnS_xSe_y : Cu where $0 \le x \le 0.5$ and $0 \le y \le 0.5$.

Regarding claim 5, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 5, and specifically comprising the limitation of a phosphor composition of ZnS_xSe_y : Cu where $0.2 \le x \le 0.5$ and $0 \le y \le 0.5$.

Regarding claim 11, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 11, and specifically comprising the limitation of a phosphor composition of ZnS_xSe_y : Cu, A where $0.001 \le x \le 0.5$, $0 \le y \le 0.5$, and A is an element selected from Ag, Ce, Tb, Cl, I, Mg, and Mn.

Regarding claim 12, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 12, and specifically comprising the limitation

Art Unit: 2879

of a phosphor composition of ZnS_xSe_y : Cu, A where $0.001 \le x \le 0.5$, $0.5 \le y \le 1$, and A is an element selected from: Ag, Ce, Tb, Cl, I, Mg, and Mn.

Regarding claim 18, claim 18 is allowable for the reasons given in claim 11 because of its dependency status from claim 11.

Regarding claim 19, claim 19 is allowable for the reasons given in claim 12 because of its dependency status from claim 12.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2879

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mph M

MARICELI SANTIAGO PRIMARY EXAMINER